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Overview

Hadley Circulation vs Thermal Tides

• Modeling studies have generally focused on simulating major dust storms in the SH summer solstice season due to the expectation that dust is most efficiently lifted and distributed by the Hadley circulation.
• However, the observational record indicates that the dust cycle in most years is dominated by pre- and post-solstice regional dust lifting. In some years major dust storms occurred well before the solstice ($Ls=270^\circ$), suggesting that the Hadley circulation does not necessarily play the dominant role in dust storm initiation and development.
• It is likely that thermal tides play a more prominent role.
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Strong, low-level circulation into the summer hemisphere
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Variation of Hadley Circulation Intensity with Season and Dust Loading

Asymmetry due to zonal mean topography and orbital eccentricity

Strong seasonal variation associated with the migration of the subsolar heating latitude off the equator
2001 Global Dust storm (MY25, red) had no impact on the Hadley circulation intensity

Diurnal –mean, near-surface winds are not substantially altered; especially the zonal mean component
Tide Surface Pressure and Near-Surface Winds

Global Scale Response to Diurnally-Varying Solar Forcing

Migrating (Sun-synchronous) Tides

Winds are strongly divergent/convergent strong upward motion in the afternoon.

S₂ ~ Dust Heating
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Rising motion in the summer hemisphere
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Tidal Boundary Layer Winds

Diurnal Tide Amplitude: \( V \)
\( L_s = 190^\circ \)
Maximum amplitudes at \( \sim \pm 30^\circ \)

Semidiurnal Amplitude: \( V \)
\( L_s = 238^\circ \)
contour interval 5 ms\(^{-1}\)
Tidal Boundary Layer Winds

Diurnal Tide Amplitude
contour interval 3 ms$^{-1}$

Phase (shading 0-24 LT)

Meridional wind is equatorward in late afternoon

Maximum amplitudes at $\sim \pm 30^\circ$
Slope effects less influential away from the surface: tide winds assume the characteristics of the sun-synchronous tide; especially for increased dust loading.

Slope effects can increase the diurnal range of tide winds.
Wind Field Analysis: Diurnal Variation of Wind at Selected Locations

Hodographs: Near surface x and y wind components as functions of local time

Color indicates Local Time:
Clockwise rotation in NH
Counterclockwise in SH

Black axes indicates semimajor and semiminor axis of fitted ellipse (least squares)

Blue line indicates local slope direction

Red line indicates diurnal mean wind
Observed and Simulated Near-Surface Winds

1977b global dust storm
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Low Level Zonal Wind (U)

Fixed Dust simulation $\tau = 0.5$

Diurnal U amplitude

Contours of zonal mean U:
Hadley circulation (5 m/s intervals)
Fixed Dust simulation \( \tau = 0.5 \)

- **Diurnal U Amplitude**
- **Diurnal V amplitude**
- **Semidiurnal V amplitude**

0-20 m/s

Contours of zonal mean field: Hadley circulation
MY24 Simulation
MGCM Simulation of Zonal Mean Surface Stress

- Winter Westerlies + baroclinic waves
- Thermal Tides
- Subtropical Jet
- Retreating polar cap boundary

Polar CO₂ caps are shaded

Units: 10⁻³ Nm⁻²
Meridional Winds dominated by Tides

Dust front at 24° S and 250° E

MGCM Simulation: 1400 LT

V 10 ms⁻¹ intervals
The significant influence of tides on Mars is in notable contrast with the terrestrial atmosphere. **Low level wind variability on Mars is dominated by tides.** Of course, slope wind effects (nonmigrating tides) are a major influence as well.

The seasonal variation in diurnal tide winds appears to be correlated with the pre- and post-solstice regional storm activity.

A negative feedback mechanism that can account for dust storm decay is still missing. **The intensity of winds associated with the tides and the Hadley circulation are positively correlated with dust opacity.** The availability of finite mobile surface dust deposits is an obvious possibility for limiting dust lifting in a particular region.

**Vertical transport of dust out of the boundary layer is evidently dominated by migrating and nonmigrating tides in MGCM simulations.** The Hadley circulation is still the prime circulation element for global scale transport.

Simulations with 2°x2° spatial resolution are not able to represent small-scale convective plumes that may be important for vertical transport of dust into the free atmosphere.
Deep, meridionally broad heating projects very efficiently onto the main semidiurnal mode. O₃ contributes to zonally uniform response.

Diurnal tide is weaker, more localized to continental regions.

Covey et al., JAS 68, 2011
Diurnal and Semidiurnal Tide

Normalized amplitude (%)

L_s = 160°

c180: 0.5°x0.5°
Diurnal and Semidiurnal Surface Pressure Oscillations at VL1 (22° N)

4 Year record at Viking Lander 1

\[ \text{Amp} = \frac{P_{\text{tide}}}{P_{\text{diavg}}} \]

High degree of regularity in the \( L_s = 0-180 \) period
Viking Surface Pressure Data

Semidiurnal Tide: $S_2(p)$

Consistent Tide response over 4 Mars years: MY12-15
c180L28_cycleAx Normalized Diurnal Amplitude (%)  

Ls= 100

Normalized Semidiurnal Amplitude (%)
Simulated Surface Pressure Amplitude and Phase: $L_s \sim 90^\circ$

**Diurnal Tide Amplitude**

**Semidiurnal Tide Amplitude**
Simulated Surface Pressure Amplitude and Phase: \( L_s \approx 90^\circ \)

Wave 2 Interference Pattern
- \( S_1 \) & DK1 modes dominant
- Simultaneous Phase Advance at two lander sites for diurnal tide as DK1 increases –As observed

Wave 4 Interference Pattern
- \( S_2 \) & SDK2 modes dominant
- Simultaneous Phase Delay for Semidiurnal tide as SDK2 increases –As observed
Semidiurnal Tide (22°N): Envelope of Seasonal Variation

Fixed Dust simulation:

Local Tide

Migrating component

Migrating tide phase is relatively invariant

Relatively little variation in migrating tide amplitude over season (~40%)---much larger longitudinal variation.
Westward migrating solar radiation modulated by topographic influences

\[ \cos(\Omega t + \lambda) \cos 2\lambda \rightarrow \cos(\Omega t + 3\lambda) + \cos(\Omega t - \lambda) \]

solar radiation \hspace{1cm} topography \hspace{1cm} diurnal, \hspace{1cm} diurnal
m = 2 \hspace{1cm} westward s=3 \hspace{1cm} eastward s=1 \hspace{1cm} DK1

Similarly, \[ \cos(2\Omega t + 2\lambda) \cos 4\lambda \] yields \[ \cos(2\Omega t - 2\lambda) \] SDK2

Similarly, \[ \cos(\Omega t + \lambda) \cos 3\lambda \] yields \[ \cos(\Omega t - 2\lambda) \] DK2

Migrating tides are scattered into nonmigrating tides; induced upslope/downslope winds play a significant role
Simulated Semidiurnal Tide at VL1: Amplitude and Phase

Fixed dust simulation

--- Simulated SD at VL1
--- S2 mode only
--- S2 + SDK2
Diurnal Kelvin Wave in MACDA

![Graph showing DK1 Amplitude with Areocentric Longitude and Latitude axes. The graph displays a pattern with peaks at certain latitudes.]

![Graph showing Normalized DK1 with Latitude axis, indicating the normalized amplitude varying across different latitudes.]
DK1 Amplitude

DK1: Reanalysis   Lat=0°

DK1: Control   Lat=0°

Reanalysis

Control Run
and Fixed Dust run (black)
Equatorial Nighttime Clouds and Temperatures

MCS

GFDL MGCM

LMD MCD5
Figure 30. Longitude/pressure sections of equatorial cloud opacity (top row) and temperature (bottom row). Temperature contoured in intervals of 5 K in all plots. Cloud opacity $\Delta \tau$ is shaded in units of $10^{-3} \text{ km}^{-1}$.
Diurnal Variation of Cloud and Temperature
Mars Climate Sounder   MCS

Zonally-averaged Temperature
0-80 km

\[ T_{\text{avg}} = \frac{(T_{3\text{pm}} + T_{3\text{am}})}{2} \]
Diurnal Average*

\[ T_{\text{diff}} = \frac{(T_{3\text{pm}} - T_{3\text{am}})}{2} \]
Sun-Synchronous Tide*

aka Migrating Tide
Zonal variations in temperature: Nonmigrating tide
Strong, low-level cooling over Arabia and Tharsis

Nonmigrating Tide Forcing
Topographically Locked Nighttime Water Ice Clouds
Arrows show pairs of possible wave modes

Prominent DK1 ($m=2$) & DK2 ($m=3$) & DK4($m=4$)
Kelvin Wave Simulation
MGCM Simulation of Equatorial Geopotential

Ls= 110  Lat= 0  1500 LT

Longitude
**Thermal Tides: Survey of Topics**

- Well-defined forcing period: Atmospheric response determined by the horizontal and vertical structure of the forcing: For Mars, sensible and radiative exchange with the surface and absorption of insolation by airborne dust are dominant forcing mechanisms.
- Well-developed Linear Tide Theory provides a basis for relating temperature structure and forcing.
- Examples of diurnal variability in the Martian atmosphere
- Temperature Structure
- Diurnal variation in boundary layer winds: dependence on slope and dust
- Surface pressure variation, focusing on the dependence of the migrating semidiurnal tide on aerosol opacity.
Solar Forcing ---- Diurnal and Semidiurnal harmonics

\[ F(\lambda, t) \sim \sum F_{s,\sigma} \cos[s\lambda + \sigma t]; \quad s = \sigma \]

\[ F(\lambda, t_{LT}) \sim \sum F_{s,\sigma} \cos[(s-\sigma)\lambda + \sigma t_{LT}] = \sum F_{s,\sigma} \cos[\sigma t_{LT}] \]
MCS Tropical Temperature  20S-20N

X-track and along-track observations yield up to 6 local times

Allows fitting of diurnal and semidiurnal harmonics of the sun-synchronous tide

**Diurnal Tide Amplitude**

**Semidiurnal Tide Amplitude**

Semidiurnal Tide: 5-8 K amplitude in tropics !!
Sun-Synchronous Thermal Tide

1977a Storm
IRTM $T_{15}$ $L_z = 220-225$

1977b Storm
IRTM $T_{15}$ $L_z = 280-290$

Viking IRTM $T_{15}$ (0.5 hPa or ~25 km)

Observed

Latitude x Local Time

Simulated (MGCM)

binned in local time and zonally averaged
Dust distribution is shaped by the Hadley circulation.

Global distribution
Simulated and Observed $S_1(T_{15})$ and $S_2(T_{15})$ Tide Amplitudes for 1977a and 1977b Dust Storms.

$S_1$ stronger in 1977a than 1977b, and at a higher latitude: consistent with the influence of zonal mean westerlies at Ls=225 in 1977a.

$S_2$ stronger for 1977b—Significantly higher dust opacity in the 2’d storm.
UK Reanalysis: Equatorial Semidiurnal $P_{SFC}$ Amplitude and Dust Opacity

$$S_2(P_{sfc}) \quad \tau' = 0.3 + 1.6 \tau$$
\[ \mathcal{F} = (\alpha + \beta \tau) \{\cos(\delta) R^{-2}\} \]

\[ \alpha = 0.48; \quad \beta = 1.32 \]

Seasonal variation in equatorial (symmetric) insolation based on orbital radius \( R \) and declination \( \delta \)

\( \alpha \) is due to boundary layer heating

\[ S_2 \sim 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau \sim 0.5 \]
MGCM Simulation with Radiatively Active Ice Clouds
Migrating Semidiurnal Tide Amplitude \( L_s = 105 \)

**GFDL**

\( S2: \ L_s = 105.0 \)

**LMD MCD**

\( MCD 4.2 \ L_s = 105 \)

\( MCD 5.0 \ L_s = 105 \)

**Latitude**

**Pressure (Pa)**

No Clouds

Radiatively Active Water Ice Clouds
Ls 99-113 ave, zonal mean semi-diurnal tide amplitude, T
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Summary

The evidence for coupling between tropical clouds and the thermal tide first seen in MGS Radio Science observations has been reinforced with the much more extensive and comprehensive data returned from MCS.

• The presence of strong elevated nighttime temperature inversions in the Tharsis region is a robust feature of the equatorial atmosphere during the $L_s = 0$-140° season, with little difference seen between the two Mars years examined (MY 29 and 30).

• The tropical structure appears to evolve over the spring and summer seasons in response to the waxing and waning of tropical cloud opacity. MGCM simulations suggest that radiative forcing by water ice clouds plays a significant role in establishing the observed structure.

• The zonal temperature anomalies described here are dominated by tide modes that include eastward propagating, diurnal period Kelvin waves and shorter vertical wavelength westward propagating tide modes.

MCS temperature and cloud observations will provide valuable guidance and constraints for future model development.

• Modeling of 32 micron (~surface) brightness temperature with radiatively active clouds should yield estimates column cloud opacity. MCS retrievals do not provide this observation and retrievals are limited by optically-thick clouds.

• The vertical extent of clouds should be strongly influenced by cloud microphysics